


# Heck–Suzuki Tandem Reaction for the Synthesis of 3-Benzazepines

Anatoly A. Peshkov,<sup>†</sup> Vsevolod A. Peshkov,<sup>\*,†,§</sup> Olga P. Pereshivko,<sup>†</sup> Kristof Van Hecke,<sup>‡</sup> Rakesh Kumar,<sup>†,#</sup> and Erik V. Van der Eycken<sup>\*,†</sup>

<sup>†</sup>Laboratory for Organic & Microwave-Assisted Chemistry (LOMAC), Department of Chemistry, University of Leuven (KU Leuven), Celestijnenlaan 200F, B-3001 Leuven, Belgium

<sup>‡</sup>Department of Inorganic and Physical Chemistry, Gent University, Krijgslaan 281 S3, B-9000 Gent, Belgium

**S** Supporting Information



**ABSTRACT:** A novel procedure for the Heck–Suzuki tandem reaction suitable for the construction of nitrogen-containing medium rings was developed to provide access toward the 3-benzazepine framework.

## INTRODUCTION


The 3-benzazepine core is present in a large variety of natural products and important pharmaceuticals and might be rightfully regarded as a *privileged structure*.<sup>1</sup> Therefore, it has served as a target motif for a large number of synthetic studies. Successful examples include various types of ring-expansion reactions,<sup>2</sup> insertion of allenes into the Pd–C bond of *ortho*-palladated phenethylamines,<sup>3</sup> and heterocyclizations involving either intramolecular reductive amination<sup>4</sup> or transition-metal-catalyzed triple-bond hydroamination.<sup>5</sup> Radical,<sup>6</sup> Friedel–Crafts,<sup>7</sup> and Heck-type<sup>8</sup> carbocyclizations are also among the most applied methodologies for the 3-benzazepine assembly.

In 1994, Tietze and Schimpf described an efficient route toward 3-benzazepines starting from propargylamides containing an aryl iodide moiety by applying an intramolecular version of the reductive Heck reaction that is also referred to as formal triple bond hydroarylation.<sup>9,10</sup> Later on, our group established a more general protocol that utilizes readily accessible propargylamides derived from 3-substituted propiolic acids and *o*-bromophenethylamines.<sup>11</sup> Subsequently, we have expanded this approach to the use of Ugi reaction derived propargylamides<sup>12</sup> and A<sup>3</sup>-coupling derived propargylamines<sup>13</sup> aiming to introduce an additional diversity in the resulting 3-benzazepines.

These reductive Heck approaches operate through the cyclic intermediate **A**, resulting from the oxidative addition of aryl bromide to the Pd(0)-species and subsequent triple bond insertion, which then undergoes the reduction with HCOONa (sodium formate) into the 3-benzazepine of type **2**. Trapping this intermediate with an organoboron reagent **3** should hypothetically result in the formation of the 3-benzazepine of type **4** (Scheme 1). Such Heck–Suzuki tandem reactions are well-known in the literature and have been previously demonstrated to be highly efficient for the assembly of five- and six-membered hetero-<sup>14</sup> and alicycles.<sup>15</sup> Moreover, this

## Scheme 1. Synthesis of the 3-Benzazepine Framework through Palladium-Catalyzed Carbocyclizations of Propargylic Precursors

### Previous work




### Current work

strategy proved to be useful for the synthesis of seven-membered dibenzoxapine derivatives.<sup>16</sup> However, to the best of our knowledge, no general protocol allowing the application of this process for the synthesis of nitrogen-containing medium-rings is known in the literature. In order to fill this gap we aimed to examine the Heck–Suzuki tandem reaction for the synthesis and further diversification of the 3-benzazepine scaffold. Herein we present the detailed studies on the scope and limitations of the resulting procedure.


Received: March 25, 2015



Published: May 21, 2015

Table 1. Screening of Parameters for the Model Reaction of **1a** and **3a**<sup>a</sup>

entry	<i>x</i>	Pd cat.	base	conditions	solvent	yield <sup>b</sup> (%)	conversion <sup>b</sup> (%)
1	1.5	Pd(PPh <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>4</sub>	K <sub>3</sub> PO <sub>4</sub>	MW, 110 °C, 15 min	DMF	13	70
2	1.5	Pd(PPh <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>4</sub>	K <sub>3</sub> PO <sub>4</sub>	MW, 110 °C, 15 min	DMF/H <sub>2</sub> O(3:1)	31	100
3	1.2	Pd(PPh <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>4</sub>	K <sub>3</sub> PO <sub>4</sub>	MW, 110 °C, 15 min	DMF/H <sub>2</sub> O(3:1)	16	95
4	1.5	Pd(PPh <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>4</sub>	KOAc	MW, 110 °C, 15 min	DMF/H <sub>2</sub> O(3:1)	48	86
5	1.5	Pd(PPh <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>4</sub>	Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	MW, 110 °C, 15 min	DMF/H <sub>2</sub> O(3:1)	30	100
6	1.5	Pd(PPh <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>4</sub>	CS <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	MW, 110 °C, 15 min	DMF/H <sub>2</sub> O(3:1)	21	100
7	1.5	Pd(PPh <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>4</sub>	<i>t</i> BuOK	MW, 110 °C, 15 min	DMF/H <sub>2</sub> O(3:1)	16	100
8	1.5	Pd(PPh <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	KOAc	MW, 110 °C, 15 min	DMF/H <sub>2</sub> O(3:1)	36	90
9	1.5	Pd(PPh <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	K <sub>3</sub> PO <sub>4</sub>	MW, 110 °C, 15 min	DMF/H <sub>2</sub> O(3:1)	55	100
10	1.5	Pd(OAc) <sub>2</sub> /PPh <sub>3</sub>	KOAc	MW, 110 °C, 15 min	DMF/H <sub>2</sub> O(3:1)	41	88
11	1.8	Pd(PPh <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	K <sub>3</sub> PO <sub>4</sub>	MW, 110 °C, 15 min	DMF/H <sub>2</sub> O(3:1)	48	100
12	1.5	Pd(PPh <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	K <sub>3</sub> PO <sub>4</sub>	MW, 110 °C, 15 min	DMF/H <sub>2</sub> O(1:1)	17	100
13	1.5	Pd(PPh <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	K <sub>3</sub> PO <sub>4</sub>	MW, 110 °C, 15 min	DMA/H <sub>2</sub> O(3:1)	50	100
14	1.5	<b>Pd(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub></b>	<b>K<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub></b>	<b>MW, 110 °C, 15 min</b>	<b>DMF/H<sub>2</sub>O(4:1)</b>	<b>58 (51)<sup>c</sup></b>	<b>100</b>
15	1.5	Pd(OAc) <sub>2</sub> /PPh <sub>3</sub>	K <sub>3</sub> PO <sub>4</sub>	MW, 110 °C, 15 min	DMF/H <sub>2</sub> O(4:1)	43	100
16	1.5	Pd <sub>2</sub> dba <sub>3</sub> /PPh <sub>3</sub>	K <sub>3</sub> PO <sub>4</sub>	MW, 110 °C, 15 min	DMF/H <sub>2</sub> O(4:1)	37	100
17	1.5	Pd(PPh <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	K <sub>3</sub> PO <sub>4</sub>	MW, 90 °C, 25 min	DMF/H <sub>2</sub> O(4:1)	44	94
18	1.5	Pd(PPh <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	K <sub>3</sub> PO <sub>4</sub>	MW, 120 °C, 10 min	DMF/H <sub>2</sub> O(4:1)	31	88
19	1.5	Pd(PPh <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	K <sub>3</sub> PO <sub>4</sub>	oil bath, 90 °C, 2 h	DMF/H <sub>2</sub> O(4:1)	39	100
20	1.5	Pd(PPh <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	K <sub>3</sub> PO <sub>4</sub>	oil bath, 110 °C, 1 h	DMF/water(4:1)	35	100

<sup>a</sup>The reactions were run on a 0.2 mmol scale in 2.25 mL of solvent. <sup>b</sup>Yields and conversions were determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR using 3,4,5-trimethoxybenzaldehyde as internal standard. <sup>c</sup>Isolated yield is given in parentheses.

Table 2. Comparison of the Reactivity of Different Organoboron Reagents **3**<sup>a</sup>

Entry	Ph-[B] ( <b>3</b> )	Yield <sup>b</sup>	Conversion <sup>b</sup>
1	PhB(OH) <sub>2</sub> <b>3a</b>	58 (51) <sup>c</sup>	100
2	PhBF <sub>3</sub> K <b>3b</b>	29	100
3	 <b>3c</b>	52 (45) <sup>c</sup>	100
4	 <b>3d</b>	14	34

<sup>a</sup>The reactions were run on a 0.2 mmol scale in 2.25 mL of solvent. <sup>b</sup>Yields and conversions were determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR using 3,4,5-trimethoxybenzaldehyde as internal standard. <sup>c</sup>Isolated yields are given in parentheses.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The reaction conditions for the Heck–Suzuki tandem process were adjusted using propargylamide **1a** and phenylboronic acid **3a** as model substrates (Table 1). Pd(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>-catalyzed reaction of **1a** with 1.5 equiv of **3a** and K<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> as a base conducted under microwave irradiation at 110 °C for 15 min in DMF resulted in 70% conversion of **1a** but gave only 13% yield of the desired 3-benzazepine **4a** as determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR of the

crude material after workup (Table 1, entry 1). Switching to a DMF/water (3:1) mixture as solvent system led to full conversion of **1a** and an improved 31% yield for **4a** (Table 1, entry 2). An attempt to decrease the excess phenylboronic acid **3a** resulted in incomplete conversion of **1a** (Table 1, entry 3). Next, we screened various bases in combination with different Pd catalysts (Table 1, entries 4–10). The Pd(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/K<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> combination was found to be the best, delivering target **4a** in a

Table 3. Scope and Limitations of the Heck–Suzuki Tandem Reaction Procedure for the Synthesis of 3-Benzazepine and 3-Benzazocine Frameworks<sup>a</sup>

Entry	y	Conditions	Propargylamide or propargylamine 1	Organoboron reagent 3	Product 4	Yield <sup>b</sup>	
						4	5
1	3	110°C, 15 min				51	nd <sup>c</sup>
2	3	110°C, 15 min	1a			35	nd <sup>c</sup>
3	3	110°C, 15 min	1a			47	nd <sup>c</sup>
4	3	110°C, 15 min	1a			34	nd <sup>c</sup>
5	3	110°C, 15 min	1a			52	nd <sup>c</sup>
6	3	110°C, 15 min	1a			48	nd <sup>c</sup>
7	3	110°C, 25 min	1a			42	16
8	3	110°C, 15 min	1a			53	nd <sup>c</sup>
9	3	110°C, 15 min	1a			60	nd <sup>c</sup>

Table 3. continued



















Entry	y	Conditions	Propargylamide or propargylamine 1	Organoboron reagent 3	Product 4	Yield <sup>b</sup>	
						4	5
10	3	110°C, 15 min	<b>1a</b>	 <b>3m</b>	 <b>4j</b>	37	27
11	3	110°C, 15 min	<b>1a</b>	 <b>3n</b>	 <b>4k</b>	52	nd <sup>c</sup>
12	3	110°C, 15 min	<b>1a</b>	 <b>3p</b>	 <b>4l</b>	40 (50) <sup>d</sup>	nd <sup>c</sup>
13	4	115°C, 30 min	<b>1a</b>			67 (90) <sup>d</sup>	nd <sup>c</sup>
14	3+2	115°C, 20+15 min	<b>1a</b>			72 (100) <sup>d</sup>	nd <sup>c</sup>
15	4	110°C, 25 min	 <b>1b</b>	<b>3a</b>	 <b>4m</b>	49	nd <sup>c</sup>
16	3+2	115°C, 20+15 min	<b>1b</b>	<b>3p</b>	 <b>4n</b>	80	nd <sup>c</sup>
17	3	110°C, 15 min	 <b>1c</b>	<b>3a</b>	 <b>4o</b>	15	6
18	3+2	115°C, 20+15 min	<b>1c</b>	<b>3p</b>	 <b>4p</b>	18	nd <sup>c</sup>
19	3	110°C, 15 min	 <b>1d</b>	<b>3a</b>	 <b>4q</b>	69	nd <sup>c</sup>
20	3+2	115°C, 20+15 min	<b>1d</b>	<b>3p</b>	 <b>4r</b>	83	nd <sup>c</sup>
21	3	110°C, 15 min	 <b>1e</b>	<b>3a</b>	 <b>4s</b>	45	nd <sup>c</sup>
22	3+2	115°C, 20+15 min	<b>1e</b>	<b>3p</b>	 <b>4t</b>	79	nd <sup>c</sup>

Table 3. continued

Entry	y	Conditions	Propargylamide or propargylamine <b>1</b>	Organoboron reagent <b>3</b>	Product <b>4</b>	Yield <sup>b</sup>	
						<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>
23	3	110°C, 15 min		<b>3a</b>		13	34
24	3+2	115°C, 20+15 min	<b>1f</b>	<b>3p</b>		59	nd <sup>c</sup>
25	3+2	110°C, 25+15 min		<b>3a</b>		27	35 <sup>c</sup>
26	3+3	115°C, 25+15 min	<b>1g</b>	<b>3p</b>		55	nd <sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>The reactions were run on a 0.2 mmol scale in 2.25 mL of solvent. <sup>b</sup>Isolated yields. <sup>c</sup>nd = not detected or difficult to determine clearly. <sup>d</sup>Conversions are given in parentheses. <sup>e</sup>Yield was determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR using 3,4,5-trimethoxybenzaldehyde as internal standard.

good NMR yield of 55% (Table 1, entry 9). Interestingly, increasing the excess phenylboronic acid **3a** up to 1.8 equiv did not provide a better yield of **4a** (Table 1, entry 11). Changing the DMF–water ratio to 1:1 led to a significant drop in the yield of **4a** (Table 1, entry 12). The reaction in a DMA/water (3:1) mixture gave a slightly decreased yield of **4a** compared to the analogous reaction in DMF/water (3:1) (Table 1, entry 13 versus entry 9). Finally, reaction in DMF/water (4:1) delivered 3-benzazepine **4a** in a best observed NMR yield of 58%, which corresponds to 51% isolated yield after column chromatography and recrystallization from diethyl ether (Table 1, entry 14). Further attempts to increase the yield of **4a** by tuning the catalytic system were unproductive (Table 1, entries 15 and 16). In addition, no further improvement was achieved by changing the reaction temperature as well as by applying conventional oil bath heating (Table 1, entries 17–20).

We then decided to compare the reactivity of several common phenylorganoboron reagents **3a–d** in the model reaction with **1a** (Table 2). Phenylboronic acid **3a** was found to be the most efficient coupling partner (Table 2, entry 1). Nonetheless, the use of potassium phenyltrifluoroborate **3b** and phenylboronic acid pinacol ester **3c** also resulted in a full conversion of **1a**, although the yields for the desired 3-benzazepine **4a** were lower in these cases (Table 2, entries 2 and 3 versus entry 1). The application of phenylboronic acid MIDA ester **3d** gave a very low conversion of **1a** and as a result a poor yield for target compound **4a** (Table 2, entry 4).


Having these results in hand, we decided to evaluate the scope and limitations of our procedure (Table 3). First we have screened a number of aromatic **3a,e–k** and heteroaromatic **3l,m** boronic acids in combination with propargylamide **1a**. All reactions were successful, delivering the desired 3-benzazepines **4a–j** in up to 60% yield (Table 3, entries 1–10). The reaction of **1a** with penten-1-ylboronic acid **3n** also resulted in a good 52% yield of 3-benzazepine **4k** (Table 3, entry 11). At the same time, the application of vinylpotassium trifluoroborate **3p**

required some adjustments of the reaction conditions in order to reach a full conversion of **1a** but finally allowed to obtain 3-benzazepine **4l** in a very good yield of 72% (Table 3, entries 12–14). Next, we have screened the reactivity of various propargylamides **1b–e** in combination with phenylboronic acid **3a** and with vinylpotassium trifluoroborate **3p** (Table 3, entries 15–22). Gratifyingly, the isolated yields of the desired 3-benzazepines in several cases have reached 80% yield. However, in the reactions with propargylamide **1c** derived from phenylpropionic acid, only very poor yields were obtained (Table 3, entries 17 and 18). In the case of reactions with propargylamide **1f**, an expanded eight-membered ring could be constructed although the yields for the resulting 3-benzazocines **4u** and **4v** are significantly lower than for analogues 3-benzazepines **4a** and **4l** (Table 3, entries 23 and 24 versus entries 1 and 14). Importantly, A<sup>3</sup>-coupling derived propargylamine **1g** was also found to be applicable in this process (Table 3, entries 25 and 26).

The reactions with vinylpotassium trifluoroborate **3p** in all cases led to higher yields of the desired benzazepine and benzazocine products compared to the analogues reactions with phenylboronic acid **3a** (Table 3, entries 14, 16, 18, 20, 22, 24, and 26 versus entries 1, 15, 17, 19, 21, 23, and 25). This result could be attributed to the smaller size of the introduced vinyl fragment compared to the phenyl one.

In some cases, in addition to the desired Heck–Suzuki product **4** the formation of byproduct **5** that results from the direct Suzuki coupling of the aryl bromide moiety of **1** with organoboron reagent **3** could be observed. In several instances, such products **5** could be isolated and characterized (Table 3, entries 7, 10, 17, 23, and 25).

Finally, we investigated the reactions of propargylamides **1a** and **1d** with 2-phenyl-1-ethynylboronic acid pinacol ester **3o** (Table 4). Interestingly, in this case, in addition to the standard product **4** another unexpected 3-benzazepine product **6** was formed resulting from the double incorporation of organoboron

Table 4. Heck–Suzuki Tandem Reaction with 2-Phenyl-1-ethynylboronic Acid Pinacol Ester 3o<sup>a</sup>

Entry	Propargylamide 1	x	Product 4	Yield of 4 <sup>b</sup>	Product 6	Yield of 6 <sup>b</sup>
1	<b>1a</b>	1.5	 <b>4y</b>	24	 <b>6a</b>	10
2		1.5		19		13
3	<b>1d</b>	1.2	 <b>4z</b>	23	 <b>6b</b>	9
4		2.5		20 <sup>c</sup>		14 <sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>The reactions were run on a 0.2 mmol scale in 2.25 mL of solvent. <sup>b</sup>Isolated yields. <sup>c</sup>Yields were determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR using 3,4,5-trimethoxybenzaldehyde as internal standard.

reagent **3o**. We attempted to tune the **4** to **6** ratio by changing the amounts of **3o** added to the reaction; however, no significant effect was achieved.

The structures of all prepared 3-benzazepines **4a–t,w–z** and **6** and 3-benzazocines **4u,v** were confirmed by <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectroscopy and HRMS. In addition, the structures of four representative products **4m,t,z** and **6b** were assured by X-ray crystallographic analysis.<sup>17</sup>

## CONCLUSION

In summary, we have developed a novel protocol for the tandem Heck–Suzuki reaction showing that it can be successfully applied for the construction of nitrogen-containing medium rings. Importantly, our methodology employs propargylamides/amines comprising an aryl bromide functionality, while most of the known procedures leading to nitrogen-containing five- and six-membered heterocycles<sup>14a–c</sup> generally rely on more reactive aryl iodides. The adaptability of the developed procedure toward the various organoboron sources has also been evaluated.

## EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

**General Information.** <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were recorded at 300 and 75 MHz, respectively. The <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C chemical shifts are reported in parts per million relative to tetramethylsilane using the residual solvent signal as the internal reference. High-resolution EI mass spectra were recorded with a resolution of 10000. The ion source temperature was 150–250 °C, as required. High-resolution ESI mass spectra were acquired on a quadrupole orthogonal acceleration time-of-flight mass spectrometer. Samples were infused at 3 μL/min, and spectra were obtained in positive-ionization mode with a resolution of 15000 (fwhm) using leucine enkephalin as lock mass. Reversed-phase HPLC separation was performed using a C18 (5 μm × 150 nm × 22 mm) preparative column.

The microwave reactions were carried out in 10 mL glass tubes and sealed with a Teflon septum using a dedicated CEM-Discover mono-mode microwave apparatus, operating with a frequency of 2.45 GHz.

The temperature of microwave reactions was measured by an inbuilt infrared temperature probe.

**Synthesis of the Starting Materials.** The preparation procedures and analytical data for compounds **1a,c–f**<sup>11a</sup> and **1g**<sup>13</sup> have been described by us previously.

**Synthesis of N-(2-Bromo-4,5-dimethoxyphenethyl)-N-(4-methoxybenzyl)pent-2-ynamide (1b).** 2-(2-Bromo-4,5-dimethoxyphenyl)-N-(4-methoxybenzyl)ethanamine (496 mg, 1.3 mmol) was added in one portion to a mixture of pent-2-ynoic acid (134 mg, 1.37 mmol) and DCC (283 mg, 1.37 mmol) in dry DCM (6 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred overnight at rt. The subsequently formed precipitate of N,N'-dicyclohexylurea was filtered off and washed with DCM. The combined organic layers were concentrated and subjected to column chromatography on silica gel with EtOAc/heptane (3:7) as eluent to deliver pure **1b** as a 2:3 mixture of rotamers. Yield: 382 mg, 64%. Pale yellow oil. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 7.26–7.14 (m, 2H), 6.99 (s, 0.4H), 6.97 (s, 0.6H), 6.90–6.81 (m, 2H), 6.74 (s, 0.6H), 6.56 (s, 0.4H), 4.53 (s, 2H), 3.87–3.76 (m, 9H), 3.70–3.62 (m, 0.8H), 3.50–3.41 (m, 1.2H), 2.97–2.85 (m, 2H), 2.43–2.30 (m, 2H), 1.24–1.15 (m, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 159.3, 159.1, 154.9, 154.7, 148.52, 148.49, 148.4, 148.2, 130.1, 129.8, 129.6, 129.1, 128.9, 128.5, 115.5, 115.3, 114.3, 114.04, 113.96, 113.6, 113.5, 94.2, 93.9, 73.8, 73.4, 56.2, 56.11, 56.09, 55.3, 55.2, 52.8, 47.8, 47.2, 44.0, 35.0, 33.1, 12.93, 12.86, 12.8, 12.7. HRMS (EI): *m/z* [M]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>26</sub>BrNO<sub>4</sub> 459.1045, found 459.1059.

**General Procedure for the Heck–Suzuki Tandem Reaction for the Synthesis of 3-Benzazepines 4a–k,m,o,q,s,y,z and 6a,b and benzazocine 4u.** Pd(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (4.2 mg, 3 mol %), organoboron reagent **3** (0.3 mmol), and propargylamide **1** (0.2 mmol) were loaded into a microwave instrument vial and dissolved in DMF (1.8 mL). Then K<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> (85 mg, 0.4 mmol) was added followed by distilled water (0.45 mL). The reaction vial was evacuated, flushed with argon, sealed, and irradiated under stirring at a set temperature of 110 °C for 15 min utilizing a maximum power of 100 W. Upon completion of the reaction, the vial was cooled with a stream of air. The reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc (50 mL), washed with water (2 × 50 mL), dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The resulting crude material was subjected to the appropriate purification procedure.

(*E*)-7,8-Dimethoxy-3-(4-methoxybenzyl)-1-(1-phenylethylidene)-4,5-dihydro-1*H*-benz[diazepin-2(3*H*)-one (**4a**). The material obtained after workup was subjected to column chromatography on silica gel with heptane/EtOAc (1:1) as eluent followed by recrystallization/washing with diethyl ether to deliver pure **4a**. Yield: 45 mg, 51%. White amorphous solid.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (300 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ ):  $\delta$  7.31–7.22 (m, 2H), 7.20–7.05 (m, 5H), 6.88 (d,  $J = 8.2$  Hz, 2H), 6.45 (s, 1H), 6.18 (s, 1H), 5.02 (d,  $J = 14.8$  Hz, 1H), 4.39–4.17 (m, 2H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 3.39–3.25 (m, 4H), 2.98–2.88 (m, 2H), 2.33 (s, 3H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (75 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ ):  $\delta$  171.6, 159.1, 147.9, 146.5, 141.9, 137.5, 134.6, 129.7, 129.4, 128.6, 128.1, 127.7, 126.7, 125.5, 115.4, 114.1, 111.9, 55.7, 55.32, 55.30, 47.5, 44.5, 31.8, 22.1. HRMS (EI):  $m/z$  [ $\text{M}$ ] $^+$  calcd for  $\text{C}_{28}\text{H}_{29}\text{NO}_4$  443.2097, found 443.2096.

(*E*)-1-(1-(4-Ethoxyphenyl)ethylidene)-7,8-dimethoxy-3-(4-methoxybenzyl)-4,5-dihydro-1*H*-benz[diazepin-2(3*H*)-one (**4b**). The material obtained after workup was subjected to column chromatography on silica gel with heptane/EtOAc (1:1) as eluent followed by recrystallization/washing with diethyl ether to deliver pure **4b**. Yield: 34 mg, 35%. White solid. Mp: 174–176 °C;  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (300 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ ):  $\delta$  7.25 (d,  $J = 7.8$  Hz, 2H), 7.01 (d,  $J = 8.3$  Hz, 2H), 6.88 (d,  $J = 8.2$  Hz, 2H), 6.68 (d,  $J = 8.3$  Hz, 2H), 6.45 (s, 1H), 6.23 (s, 1H), 5.01 (d,  $J = 14.6$  Hz, 1H), 4.37–4.16 (m, 2H), 4.01–3.89 (m, 2H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 3.79 (m, 3H), 3.38–3.26 (m, 4H), 2.97–2.87 (m, 2H), 2.30 (s, 3H), 1.36 (t,  $J = 6.9$  Hz, 3H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (75 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ ):  $\delta$  171.8, 159.0, 157.7, 147.9, 146.6, 137.0, 133.9, 133.7, 129.8, 129.7, 129.4, 127.6, 125.8, 115.4, 114.1, 114.0, 111.9, 63.3, 55.7, 55.4, 55.3, 47.5, 44.5, 31.8, 22.0, 14.8; HRMS (EI):  $m/z$  [ $\text{M}$ ] $^+$  calcd for  $\text{C}_{30}\text{H}_{33}\text{NO}_5$  487.2359, found 487.2354.

(*E*)-7,8-dimethoxy-3-(4-methoxybenzyl)-1-(1-(naphthalen-2-yl)ethylidene)-4,5-dihydro-1*H*-benz[diazepin-2(3*H*)-one (**4c**). The material obtained after workup was subjected to column chromatography on silica gel with heptane/EtOAc (1:1) as eluent followed by recrystallization/washing with diethyl ether to deliver pure **4c**. Yield: 46 mg, 47%. Beige solid. Mp: 243–246 °C;  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (300 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ ):  $\delta$  7.74–7.62 (m, 3H), 7.57 (d,  $J = 8.5$  Hz, 1H), 7.44–7.36 (m, 2H), 7.28 (d,  $J = 8.6$  Hz, 2H), 7.14 (d,  $J = 8.5$  Hz, 1H), 6.89 (d,  $J = 8.0$  Hz, 2H), 6.46 (s, 1H), 6.22 (s, 1H), 5.04 (d,  $J = 14.7$  Hz, 1H), 4.43–4.25 (m, 2H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 3.76 (s, 3H), 3.43–3.32 (m, 1H), 3.07 (s, 3H), 3.01–2.92 (m, 2H), 2.42 (s, 3H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (75 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ ):  $\delta$  171.6, 159.1, 148.0, 146.5, 139.5, 137.3, 135.0, 133.2, 132.1, 129.7, 129.4, 127.8, 127.7, 127.5, 127.34, 127.30, 127.0, 126.1, 125.9, 125.4, 115.5, 114.1, 112.0, 55.6, 55.3, 55.2, 47.5, 44.6, 31.9, 22.3; HRMS (EI):  $m/z$  [ $\text{M}$ ] $^+$  calcd for  $\text{C}_{32}\text{H}_{31}\text{NO}_4$  493.2253, found 493.2269.

(*E*)-methyl 3-(1-(7,8-dimethoxy-3-(4-methoxybenzyl)-2-oxo-2,3,4,5-tetrahydro-1*H*-benz[diazepin-1-ylidene)ethyl)benzoate (**4d**). The material obtained after workup was subjected to column chromatography on silica gel with heptane/EtOAc (1:1) as eluent followed by recrystallization/washing with diethyl ether to deliver pure **4d**. Yield: 34 mg, 34%. Beige solid. Mp: 132–135 °C.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (300 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ ):  $\delta$  7.87–7.76 (m, 2H), 7.31–7.16 (m, 4H), 6.88 (d,  $J = 8.5$  Hz, 2H), 6.46 (s, 1H), 6.15 (s, 1H), 4.99 (d,  $J = 14.7$  Hz, 1H), 4.41–4.18 (m, 2H), 3.87 (s, 3H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 3.42–3.22 (m, 4H), 3.01–2.84 (m, 2H), 2.34 (s, 3H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (75 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ ):  $\delta$  171.3, 166.7, 159.1, 148.1, 146.6, 142.3, 136.3, 135.6, 133.3, 130.1, 129.7, 129.6, 129.4, 128.1, 128.0, 127.9, 124.9, 115.2, 114.1, 112.1, 55.7, 55.4, 55.3, 52.1, 47.5, 44.6, 31.8, 22.0. HRMS (ESI):  $m/z$  [ $\text{M} + \text{H}$ ] $^+$  calcd for  $\text{C}_{30}\text{H}_{32}\text{NO}_6$  502.2224, found 502.2218.

(*E*)-1-(1-(3-Fluorophenyl)ethylidene)-7,8-dimethoxy-3-(4-methoxybenzyl)-4,5-dihydro-1*H*-benz[diazepin-2(3*H*)-one (**4e**). The material obtained after workup was subjected to column chromatography on silica gel with heptane/EtOAc (1:1) as eluent followed by recrystallization/washing with diethyl ether to deliver pure **4e**. Yield: 48 mg, 52%. White solid. Mp: 163–165 °C.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (300 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ ):  $\delta$  7.25 (d,  $J = 8.0$  Hz, 2H), 7.13 (q,  $J = 7.2$  Hz, 1H), 6.92–6.76 (m, 5H), 6.46 (s, 1H), 6.20 (s, 1H), 4.99 (d,  $J = 14.7$  Hz, 1H), 4.34 (d,  $J = 14.7$  Hz, 1H), 4.26–4.13 (m, 1H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 3.79 (s, 3H), 3.39–3.29 (m, 4H), 2.97–2.89 (m, 2H), 2.30 (s, 3H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (75 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ ):  $\delta$  171.3, 162.5 (d,  $J = 245.9$  Hz), 159.1, 148.2,

146.6, 144.3 (d,  $J = 7.5$  Hz), 136.1 (d,  $J = 1.9$  Hz), 135.5, 129.59, 129.55 (d,  $J = 7.3$  Hz), 129.4, 127.8, 125.0, 124.4 (d,  $J = 2.8$  Hz), 115.6 (d,  $J = 21.6$  Hz), 115.1, 114.1, 113.7 (d,  $J = 21.1$  Hz), 112.1, 55.7, 55.4, 55.3, 47.5, 44.5, 31.8, 21.9. HRMS (EI):  $m/z$  [ $\text{M}$ ] $^+$  calcd for  $\text{C}_{28}\text{H}_{28}\text{FNO}_4$  461.2002, found 461.2025.

(*E*)-1-(1-(3-Chlorophenyl)ethylidene)-7,8-dimethoxy-3-(4-methoxybenzyl)-4,5-dihydro-1*H*-benz[diazepin-2(3*H*)-one (**4f**). The material obtained after workup was subjected to column chromatography on silica gel with heptane/EtOAc (1:1) as eluent followed by recrystallization/washing with diethyl ether to deliver pure **4f**. Yield: 46 mg, 48%. White solid. Mp: 161–163 °C.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (300 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ ):  $\delta$  7.25 (d,  $J = 8.6$  Hz, 2H), 7.15–7.03 (m, 3H), 6.98–6.92 (m, 1H), 6.88 (d,  $J = 8.6$  Hz, 2H), 6.46 (s, 1H), 6.19 (s, 1H), 4.99 (d,  $J = 14.7$  Hz, 1H), 4.34 (d,  $J = 14.7$  Hz, 1H), 4.26–4.12 (m, 1H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 3.79 (s, 3H), 3.39–3.29 (m, 4H), 2.97–2.87 (m, 2H), 2.29 (s, 3H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (75 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ ):  $\delta$  171.2, 159.1, 148.2, 146.6, 143.9, 136.0, 135.7, 133.9, 129.6, 129.4, 129.3, 128.6, 127.8, 126.89, 126.86, 124.9, 115.2, 114.1, 112.1, 55.7, 55.4, 55.3, 47.5, 44.5, 31.8, 22.0. HRMS (EI):  $m/z$  [ $\text{M}$ ] $^+$  calcd for  $\text{C}_{28}\text{H}_{28}\text{ClNO}_4$  477.1707, found 477.1720.

(*E*)-7,8-Dimethoxy-3-(4-methoxybenzyl)-1-(1-(3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)ethylidene)-4,5-dihydro-1*H*-benz[diazepin-2(3*H*)-one (**4g**). The reaction time was 25 min. The material obtained after workup was subjected to column chromatography on silica gel with heptane/EtOAc (1:1) as eluent followed by recrystallization from diethyl ether to deliver pure **4g**. The mother liquor was evaporated and subjected to reversed-phase preparative HPLC with gradient pump mode, MeCN/ $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  with 0.1% HCOOH (30–40–50–60–70–70–80–90–100%, 10 min intervals) as eluent, and a flow rate of 10 mL/min to deliver a second portion of pure **4g** ( $t_{\text{R}} = 42$  min). Combined yield: 43 mg, 42%. White solid. Mp: 142–144 °C.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (300 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ ):  $\delta$  7.45–7.36 (m, 2H), 7.31–7.19 (m, 4H), 6.88 (d,  $J = 8.3$  Hz, 2H), 6.46 (s, 1H), 6.11 (s, 1H), 5.00 (d,  $J = 14.7$  Hz, 1H), 4.35 (d,  $J = 14.7$  Hz, 1H), 2.30–2.15 (m, 1H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 3.42–3.26 (m, 4H), 2.98–2.88 (m, 2H), 2.34 (s, 3H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (75 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ ):  $\delta$  171.1, 159.1, 148.3, 146.7, 142.9, 136.2, 135.9, 132.0, 130.6 (q,  $J = 32.1$  Hz), 129.6, 129.4, 128.6, 128.0, 125.4 (q,  $J = 3.6$  Hz), 123.9 (d,  $J = 272.2$  Hz), 124.7, 123.4 (q,  $J = 4.1$  Hz), 115.1, 114.1, 112.2, 55.8, 55.3, 47.6, 44.6, 31.8, 21.8. HRMS (EI):  $m/z$  [ $\text{M}$ ] $^+$  calcd for  $\text{C}_{29}\text{H}_{28}\text{F}_3\text{NO}_4$  511.1970, found 511.1975. Further elution provided *N*-(2-(4,5-dimethoxy-3-(trifluoromethyl)biphenyl-2-yl)ethyl)-*N*-(4-methoxybenzyl)but-2-ynamide (**5g**) as a ~3:2 mixture of rotamers ( $t_{\text{R}} = 45$  min). Yield: 16 mg, 16%. Pale yellow oil.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (300 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ ):  $\delta$  7.70–7.40 (m, 4H), 6.95–6.85 (m, 2H), 6.84–6.72 (m, 2.6H), 6.72–6.68 (m, 0.8H), 6.67 (s, 0.6H), 4.31 (s, 1.2H), 4.17 (s, 0.8H), 3.92 (s, 1.8H), 3.91 (s, 1.2H), 3.87 (s, 1.2H), 3.86 (s, 1.8H), 3.79 (s, 1.8H), 3.77 (s, 1.2H), 3.48–3.36 (m, 0.8H), 3.30–3.17 (m, 1.2H), 2.85–2.65 (m, 2H), 1.97 (s, 1.8H), 1.92 (s, 1.2H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (75 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ ):  $\delta$  159.2, 159.0, 154.64, 154.56, 148.84, 148.77, 147.65, 147.4, 142.14, 142.08, 133.1, 132.9, 132.6, 130.7 (m), 129.5, 128.9, 128.7, 128.6, 128.52, 128.45, 128.2, 128.0, 126.1 (m), 123.7 (m), 114.0, 113.9, 113.2, 113.0, 112.9, 112.8, 89.0, 88.6, 73.5, 73.4, 56.09, 56.05, 56.02, 55.3, 55.2, 52.3, 48.9, 46.6, 45.1, 31.6, 30.0, 4.0, 3.9. HRMS (EI):  $m/z$  [ $\text{M}$ ] $^+$  calcd for  $\text{C}_{29}\text{H}_{28}\text{F}_3\text{NO}_4$  511.1970, found 511.1987.

(*E*)-1-(1-(4-Acetylphenyl)ethylidene)-7,8-dimethoxy-3-(4-methoxybenzyl)-4,5-dihydro-1*H*-benz[diazepin-2(3*H*)-one (**4h**). The material obtained after workup was subjected to column chromatography on silica gel with heptane/EtOAc (1:1) as eluent followed by reversed-phase preparative HPLC with gradient pump mode, MeCN/ $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  with 0.1% HCOOH (30–40–50–60–70–70–80–90–100%, 10 min intervals) as eluent, and a flow rate of 10 mL/min to deliver pure **4h** ( $t_{\text{R}} = 31$  min). Yield: 51.5 mg, 53%. Yellow amorphous solid.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (300 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ ):  $\delta$  7.77 (d,  $J = 8.0$  Hz, 2H), 7.26 (d,  $J = 8.3$  Hz, 2H), 7.20 (d,  $J = 8.0$  Hz, 2H), 6.88 (d,  $J = 8.3$  Hz, 2H), 6.47 (s, 1H), 6.15 (s, 1H), 5.00 (d,  $J = 14.7$  Hz, 1H), 4.35 (d,  $J = 14.7$  Hz, 1H), 4.30–4.16 (m, 1H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 3.79 (s, 3H), 3.41–3.32 (m, 1H), 3.28 (s, 3H), 2.98–2.90 (m, 2H), 2.53 (s, 3H), 2.33 (s, 3H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (75 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ ):  $\delta$  197.4, 171.1, 159.1, 148.3, 147.2, 146.6, 136.3, 136.1, 135.3, 129.6, 129.4, 128.9, 128.1, 127.9, 125.0, 115.2, 114.1,

112.1, 55.7, 55.4, 55.3, 47.5, 44.5, 31.8, 26.5, 21.8. HRMS (EI):  $m/z$  [M]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>30</sub>H<sub>31</sub>NO<sub>5</sub> 485.2202, found 485.2207.

(*E*)-7,8-Dimethoxy-3-(4-methoxybenzyl)-1-(1-(pyridin-4-yl)ethylidene)-4,5-dihydro-1H-benz[d]azepin-2(3H)-one (**4i**). The material after workup was subjected to column chromatography on silica gel with EtOAc as eluent followed by reversed-phase preparative HPLC using gradient pump mode and MeCN/H<sub>2</sub>O (20–30–30–40–100%, 10 min intervals) as eluent with a flow rate of 10 mL/min to deliver pure **4i** ( $t_R$  = 37 min). Yield: 53 mg, 60%. Yellow oil. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 8.42 (bs, 2H), 7.25 (d,  $J$  = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 7.08–7.00 (m, 2H), 6.88 (d,  $J$  = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 6.49 (s, 1H), 6.14 (s, 1H), 4.97 (d,  $J$  = 14.7 Hz, 1H), 4.36 (d,  $J$  = 14.7 Hz, 1H), 4.26–4.12 (m, 1H), 3.84–3.76 (m, 6H), 3.42–3.30 (m, 4H), 2.98–2.89 (m, 2H), 2.31 (s, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 170.8, 159.2, 150.5, 149.1, 148.6, 146.8, 137.2, 134.4, 129.5, 129.4, 128.0, 124.3, 123.7, 114.9, 114.1, 112.3, 55.7, 55.5, 55.3, 47.6, 44.5, 31.8, 21.3. HRMS (EI):  $m/z$  [M]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>27</sub>H<sub>28</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> 444.2049, found 444.2065.

(*E*)-7,8-Dimethoxy-3-(4-methoxybenzyl)-1-(1-(thiophene-3-yl)ethylidene)-4,5-dihydro-1H-benz[d]azepin-2(3H)-one (**4j**). The material obtained after workup was subjected to column chromatography on silica gel with heptane/EtOAc (1:1) as eluent followed by reversed-phase preparative HPLC with gradient pump mode, MeCN/H<sub>2</sub>O with 0.1% HCOOH (30–40–50–60–60–70–70–100%, 10 min intervals) as eluent, and a flow rate of 10 mL/min to deliver pure **4j** ( $t_R$  = 35 min). Yield: 33 mg, 37%. Yellow oil. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 7.24 (d,  $J$  = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 7.08–7.01 (m, 2H), 6.87 (d,  $J$  = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 6.66–6.60 (m, 1H), 6.49 (s, 1H), 6.36 (s, 1H), 4.98 (d,  $J$  = 14.7 Hz, 1H), 4.34 (d,  $J$  = 14.7 Hz, 1H), 4.25–4.10 (m, 1H), 3.86–3.75 (m, 6H), 3.43 (s, 3H), 3.36–3.25 (m, 1H), 2.96–2.86 (m, 2H), 2.32 (s, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 171.6, 159.0, 148.4, 146.8, 141.9, 134.1, 131.7, 129.7, 129.4, 128.3, 127.5, 125.7, 124.3, 123.7, 114.8, 114.0, 112.1, 55.7, 55.5, 55.3, 47.5, 44.5, 31.7, 21.6. HRMS (EI):  $m/z$  [M]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>27</sub>NO<sub>4</sub>S 449.1661, found 449.1648. Further elution provided *N*-(4,5-dimethoxy-2-(thiophene-3-yl)phenethyl)-*N*-(4-methoxybenzyl)but-2-ynamide (**5j**) as a ~3:2 mixture of rotamers ( $t_R$  = 39 min). Yield: 24 mg, 27%. Yellow oil. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 7.44–7.34 (m, 1H), 7.17–7.10 (m, 1H), 7.10–7.04 (m, 1H), 7.03–6.94 (m, 2H), 6.87–6.73 (m, 3.6H), 6.67 (s, 0.4H), 4.39 (s, 1.2H), 4.25 (s, 0.8H), 3.90 (s, 1.8H), 3.89 (s, 1.2H), 3.86 (s, 1.2H), 3.85 (s, 1.8H), 3.80 (s, 1.8H), 3.78 (s, 1.2H), 3.52–3.41 (m, 0.8H), 3.35–3.23 (m, 1.2H), 2.91–2.73 (m, 2H), 1.98 (s, 1.8H), 1.96 (s, 1.2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 159.2, 159.0, 154.7, 154.6, 148.5, 148.4, 147.5, 147.2, 141.55, 141.54, 129.6, 129.3, 129.14, 129.10, 128.9, 128.80, 128.77, 128.73, 128.5, 128.4, 125.5, 125.3, 122.6, 122.5, 114.0, 113.9, 113.5, 113.3, 112.9, 112.8, 88.9, 88.6, 73.6, 73.5, 56.1, 56.00, 55.98, 55.95, 55.30, 55.26, 52.2, 49.1, 46.5, 45.2, 31.9, 30.3, 4.06, 4.05. HRMS (EI):  $m/z$  [M]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>27</sub>NO<sub>4</sub>S 449.1661, found 449.1670.

(*E*)-1-(*E*)-Hept-3-en-2-ylidene)-7,8-dimethoxy-3-(4-methoxybenzyl)-4,5-dihydro-1H-benz[d]azepin-2(3H)-one (**4k**). The material obtained after workup was subjected to column chromatography on silica gel with heptane/EtOAc (4:1 → 7:3) as eluent to deliver pure **4k**. Last fractions containing **4k** overlapping with other impurities were concentrated separately and resubjected to column chromatography to deliver a second portion of pure **4k**. Combined yield: 45 mg, 52%. Pale yellow oil. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 7.21 (d,  $J$  = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 6.86 (d,  $J$  = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 6.74 (s, 1H), 6.53 (s, 1H), 6.36 (dt,  $J$  = 15.6, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 5.92 (dt,  $J$  = 15.6, 7.0 Hz, 1H), 4.93 (d,  $J$  = 14.7 Hz, 1H), 4.29 (d,  $J$  = 14.7 Hz, 1H), 4.05–3.92 (m, 1H), 3.88–3.75 (m, 9H), 3.26–3.13 (m, 1H), 2.89–2.75 (m, 2H), 2.14–1.96 (m, 5H), 1.46–1.31 (m, 2H), 0.87 (t,  $J$  = 7.4 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 172.1, 159.0, 148.4, 146.5, 134.0, 133.9, 133.2, 129.8, 129.34, 129.28, 128.0, 125.1, 115.4, 114.0, 112.5, 55.9, 55.8, 55.3, 47.4, 44.4, 35.3, 31.7, 22.5, 16.3, 13.7. HRMS (EI):  $m/z$  [M]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>27</sub>H<sub>33</sub>NO<sub>4</sub> 435.2410, found 435.2415.

(*E*)-7,8-Dimethoxy-3-(4-methoxybenzyl)-1-(1-phenylpropylidene)-4,5-dihydro-1H-benz[d]azepin-2(3H)-one (**4m**). An increased Pd(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (5.6 mg, 4 mol %) loading and extended reaction time of 25 min were used. The material obtained after workup was subjected to column chromatography on silica gel with heptane/EtOAc (1:1) as eluent followed by reversed-phase preparative HPLC

with gradient pump mode, MeCN/H<sub>2</sub>O with 0.1% HCOOH (30–40–50–60–60–70–70–100%, 10 min intervals) as eluent, and a flow rate of 10 mL/min to deliver pure **4m** ( $t_R$  = 39 min). Yield: 45 mg, 49%. White solid. Mp: 191–193 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 7.30–7.04 (m, 8H), 6.88 (d,  $J$  = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 6.43 (s, 1H), 6.18 (s, 1H), 5.05 (d,  $J$  = 14.7 Hz, 1H), 4.37–4.18 (m, 2H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 3.77 (s, 3H), 3.39–3.25 (m, 4H), 3.14–3.01 (m, 1H), 2.97–2.87 (m, 2H), 2.68–2.53 (m, 1H), 1.60 (s, 2H), 0.92 (t,  $J$  = 7.4 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 171.6, 159.0, 147.8, 146.4, 143.7, 140.3, 134.3, 129.7, 129.4, 129.2, 128.0, 127.6, 126.7, 125.6, 115.3, 114.0, 111.9, 55.6, 55.32, 55.30, 47.5, 44.6, 31.8, 28.2, 12.4; HRMS (EI):  $m/z$  [M]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>29</sub>H<sub>31</sub>NO<sub>4</sub> 457.2253, found 457.2251.

1-(Diphenylmethylene)-7,8-dimethoxy-3-(4-methoxybenzyl)-4,5-dihydro-1H-benz[d]azepin-2(3H)-one (**4o**). The material obtained after workup was subjected to column chromatography on silica gel with heptane/EtOAc (1:1) as eluent followed by reversed-phase preparative HPLC with gradient pump mode, MeCN/H<sub>2</sub>O with 0.1% HCOOH (50–60–70–80–80–90–100%, 10 min intervals) as eluent, and a flow rate of 10 mL/min (8 mL/min for two first intervals) to deliver pure **4o** ( $t_R$  = 24 min). Yield: 15 mg, 15%. White amorphous solid; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 7.57–7.46 (m, 2H), 7.42–7.32 (m, 3H), 7.16–7.00 (m, 5H), 6.69–6.59 (m, 4H), 6.53 (s, 1H), 6.37 (s, 1H), 5.05 (d,  $J$  = 14.9 Hz, 1H), 4.32–4.17 (m, 1H), 3.87 (d,  $J$  = 14.9 Hz, 1H), 3.82 (s, 3H), 3.76 (s, 3H), 3.41 (s, 3H), 3.25–3.96 (m, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 170.4, 158.7, 148.3, 146.9, 140.71, 140.66, 140.63, 136.4, 130.1, 129.7, 129.3, 128.8, 127.93, 127.85, 127.8, 127.3, 126.8, 125.5, 114.3, 113.8, 112.2, 55.7, 55.5, 55.2, 46.9, 44.4, 31.8; HRMS (ESI):  $m/z$  [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>33</sub>H<sub>32</sub>NO<sub>4</sub> 506.2326, found 506.2318. Further elution provided *N*-(2-(4,5-dimethoxybiphenyl-2-yl)ethyl)-*N*-(4-methoxybenzyl)-3-phenylpropionamide (**5o**) as a ~1:1 mixture of rotamers ( $t_R$  = 31 min). Yield: 6 mg, 6%. Pale yellow oil. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 7.54–7.24 (m, 10H), 7.01–6.89 (m, 2H), 6.85–6.74 (m, 2.5H), 6.72 (s, 0.5H), 6.71 (s, 0.5H), 6.67 (s, 0.5H), 4.39 (s, 1H), 4.24 (s, 1H), 3.92 (s, 1.5H), 3.85 (s, 1.5H), 3.83 (s, 1.5H), 2 × 3.79 (s, 1.5H), 3.63 (s, 1.5H), 3.56–3.44 (m, 1H), 3.37–3.25 (m, 1H), 2.95–2.74 (m, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 159.2, 159.0, 154.5, 154.4, 148.33, 148.30, 147.4, 147.2, 141.4, 141.3, 134.6, 134.3, 132.4, 132.2, 130.04, 130.01, 129.8, 129.5, 129.4, 128.9, 128.7, 128.6, 128.5, 128.4, 128.31, 128.27, 128.24, 127.6, 127.1, 126.9, 120.6, 120.5, 114.0, 113.9, 113.4, 113.3, 112.8, 112.6, 90.0, 89.7, 81.9, 81.8, 56.1, 55.9, 55.7, 55.29, 55.27, 52.3, 49.3, 46.8, 45.4, 32.0, 30.1. HRMS (EI):  $m/z$  [M]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>33</sub>H<sub>31</sub>NO<sub>4</sub> 505.2253, found 505.2283.

(*E*)-3-Methyl-1-(1-phenylethylidene)-4,5-dihydro-1H-benz[d]azepin-2(3H)-one (**4q**). The material obtained after workup was subjected to column chromatography on silica gel with heptane/EtOAc (1:1) as eluent followed by reversed-phase preparative HPLC with gradient pump mode, MeCN/H<sub>2</sub>O with 0.1% HCOOH (50–60–70–80–80–90–100%, 10 min intervals) as eluent, and a flow rate of 10 mL/min (8 mL/min for two first intervals) to deliver pure **4q** ( $t_R$  = 14 min). Yield: 38 mg, 69%. White amorphous solid. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 7.21–6.97 (m, 7H), 6.82–6.68 (m, 2H), 4.55–4.39 (m, 1H), 3.40–3.06 (m, 6H), 2.27 (s, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 171.6, 141.5, 137.8, 135.3, 134.9, 133.7, 132.6, 129.9, 128.8, 127.9, 127.0, 126.8, 125.8, 47.7, 32.8, 31.5, 22.1. HRMS (ESI<sup>+</sup>):  $m/z$  [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>20</sub>NO<sup>+</sup> 278.1539, found 278.1534.

(*E*)-3-Isopropyl-1-(1-phenylethylidene)-4,5-dihydro-1H-benz[d]azepin-2(3H)-one (**4s**). The material obtained after workup was subjected to column chromatography on silica gel with heptane/EtOAc (7:3) as eluent followed by reversed-phase preparative HPLC with gradient pump mode, MeCN/H<sub>2</sub>O with 0.1% HCOOH (30–40–50–60–60–70–70–100%, 10 min intervals) as eluent, and a flow rate of 10 mL/min to deliver pure **4s** ( $t_R$  = 33 min). Yield: 27.5 mg, 45%. White amorphous solid. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 7.19–6.96 (m, 7H), 6.82–6.67 (m, 2H), 4.92 (sept,  $J$  = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 4.24–4.08 (m, 1H), 3.58–3.45 (m, 1H), 3.29–2.98 (m, 2H), 2.23 (s, 3H), 1.23 (d,  $J$  = 6.8 Hz, 6H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 171.3, 141.6, 137.3, 135.7, 135.2, 133.9, 132.3, 129.8, 128.8, 127.9, 127.0, 126.7, 125.7, 43.6, 39.1, 34.5, 21.9, 20.8, 20.6. HRMS (EI):  $m/z$  [M]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>23</sub>NO 305.1780, found 305.1773.



(*E*)-8,9-Dimethoxy-3-(4-methoxybenzyl)-1-(1-phenylethylidene)-3,4,5,6-tetrahydrobenzo[d]azepin-2(1*H*)-one (**4u**). The material obtained after workup was subjected to column chromatography on silica gel with heptane/EtOAc (7:3 → 1:1) as eluent followed by reversed-phase preparative HPLC with gradient pump mode, MeCN/H<sub>2</sub>O with 0.1% HCOOH (30–40–50–60–60–70–70–100%, 10 min intervals) as eluent, and a flow rate of 10 mL/min to deliver pure **4u** (*t<sub>R</sub>* = 36 min). Yield: 12 mg, 13%. White amorphous solid; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 7.23–7.10 (m, 6H), 6.88–6.80 (m, 3H), 6.47 (s, 3H), 4.49 (bs, 2H), 3.84–3.74 (m, 8H), 3.58–3.49 (m, 2H), 2.67–2.57 (m, 2H), 2.24–2.14 (m, 3H), 1.76–1.57 (m, 4H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 172.6, 159.0, 147.9, 147.0, 140.6, 134.9, 133.4, 131.9, 129.72, 129.69, 129.6, 127.9, 127.6, 126.9, 114.2, 113.9, 112.8, 55.9, 55.7, 55.3, 49.5, 49.1, 36.0, 28.9, 21.2; HRMS (EI): *m/z* [M]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>29</sub>H<sub>31</sub>NO<sub>4</sub> 457.2253, found 457.2251. Further elution provided *N*-(3-(4,5-dimethoxybiphenyl-2-yl)propyl)-*N*-(4-methoxybenzyl)but-2-ynamide (**5u**) as a ~1:1 mixture of rotamers (*t<sub>R</sub>* = 43 min). Yield: 31 mg, 34%. Colorless oil. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 7.46–7.30 (m, 3H), 7.30–7.21 (m, 2H), 7.10–7.00 (m, 2H), 6.88–6.77 (m, 2H), 6.74 (s, 0.5H), 6.73 (s, 0.5H), 6.71 (s, 0.5H), 6.67 (s, 0.5H), 4.46 (s, 1H), 4.32 (s, 1H), 2 × 3.90 (s, 1.5H), 2 × 3.85 (s, 1.5H), 3.80 (s, 1.5H), 3.78 (s, 1.5H), 3.34–3.24 (m, 1H), 3.18–3.07 (m, 1H), 2.56–2.39 (m, 2H), 2.00 (s, 1.5H), 1.89 (s, 1.5H), 1.70–1.52 (m, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 159.2, 159.0, 154.8, 154.6, 148.1, 146.9, 146.8, 141.7, 141.6, 134.2, 133.9, 131.1, 130.8, 129.5, 129.41, 129.38, 128.9, 128.4, 128.23, 128.17, 126.9, 126.7, 114.0, 113.9, 113.4, 113.3, 112.20, 112.17, 89.0, 88.9, 73.7, 73.4, 56.05, 56.02, 55.96, 55.95, 55.3, 55.2, 51.6, 47.3, 46.2, 43.1, 30.03, 29.97, 29.8, 28.4, 4.1, 3.9. HRMS (EI): *m/z* [M]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>29</sub>H<sub>31</sub>NO<sub>4</sub> 457.2253, found 457.2276.

(*E*)-7,8-Dimethoxy-3-(4-methoxybenzyl)-1-(4-phenylbut-3-yn-2-ylidene)-4,5-dihydro-1*H*-benzo[d]azepin-2(3*H*)-one (**4y**). The material obtained after workup was subjected to column chromatography on silica gel with heptane/EtOAc (7:3 → 3:2) as eluent followed by reversed-phase preparative HPLC with gradient pump mode, MeCN/H<sub>2</sub>O with 0.1% HCOOH (30–40–50–60–70–70–80–90–100%, 10 min intervals) as eluent, and a flow rate of 10 mL/min to deliver pure **4y** (*t<sub>R</sub>* = 42 min). Yield: 22.4 mg, 24%. Yellow oil. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 7.34–7.19 (m, 8H), 6.88 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 6.53 (s, 1H), 4.95 (d, *J* = 14.7 Hz, 1H), 4.32 (d, *J* = 14.7 Hz, 1H), 4.07–3.94 (m, 1H), 3.86 (s, 3H), 3.81 (s, 6H), 3.32–3.20 (m, 1H), 2.97–2.80 (m, 2H), 2.22 (s, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 170.4, 159.1, 148.8, 146.4, 142.6, 131.4, 129.5, 128.4, 128.0, 125.2, 123.1, 119.2, 114.3, 114.1, 112.1, 94.2, 90.3, 55.84, 55.80, 55.3, 47.6, 44.5, 31.9, 21.0. HRMS (EI): *m/z* [M]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>30</sub>H<sub>29</sub>NO<sub>4</sub> 467.2097, found 467.2075. Further elution provided (*E*)-1-((*Z*)-4,6-diphenylhex-3-en-5-yn-2-ylidene)-7,8-dimethoxy-3-(4-methoxybenzyl)-4,5-dihydro-1*H*-benzo[d]azepin-2(3*H*)-one (**6a**) (*t<sub>R</sub>* = 63 min). Yield: 11.4 mg, 10%. Yellow oil. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 7.62–7.48 (m, 4H), 7.43–7.18 (m, 8H), 7.07 (s, 1H), 6.88 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 6.82 (s, 1H), 6.55 (s, 1H), 4.99 (d, *J* = 14.7 Hz, 1H), 4.31 (d, *J* = 14.7 Hz, 1H), 4.12–3.97 (m, 1H), 3.87 (s, 3H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 3.74 (s, 3H), 3.30–3.20 (m, 1H), 2.98–2.78 (m, 2H), 2.61 (s, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 171.5, 159.1, 148.8, 146.6, 139.6, 139.5, 134.7, 134.1, 131.2, 129.6, 129.4, 128.6, 128.48, 128.45, 128.3, 127.9, 126.2, 125.0, 123.4, 123.2, 115.7, 114.1, 112.6, 98.7, 88.8, 55.9, 55.8, 55.3, 47.4, 44.3, 31.8, 19.3. HRMS (EI): *m/z* [M]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>38</sub>H<sub>35</sub>NO<sub>4</sub> 569.2566, found 569.2596.

(*E*)-3-Methyl-1-(4-phenylbut-3-yn-2-ylidene)-4,5-dihydro-1*H*-benzo[d]azepin-2(3*H*)-one (**4z**). The material obtained after workup was subjected to column chromatography on silica gel with heptane/EtOAc (7:3) as eluent followed by reversed-phase preparative HPLC with gradient pump mode, MeCN/H<sub>2</sub>O with 0.1% HCOOH (30–40–50–60–70–70–80–90–100%, 10 min intervals) as eluent, and a flow rate of 10 mL/min to deliver pure **4z** (*t<sub>R</sub>* = 35 min). Yield: 11.5 mg, 19%. Yellow solid. Mp: 144–146 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 7.79–7.68 (m, 1H), 7.31–7.18 (m, 7H), 7.17–7.09 (m, 1H), 4.30–4.14 (m, 1H), 3.33–2.96 (m, 6H), 2.17 (s, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 170.3, 142.8, 135.3, 133.2, 132.0, 131.5, 129.9, 128.3, 128.2, 128.1, 125.6, 123.1, 119.9, 93.6, 90.0, 47.6, 32.8, 31.6, 20.9. HRMS (EI): *m/z* [M]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>19</sub>NO 301.1467,

found 301.1489. Further elution provided (*E*)-1-((*Z*)-4,6-diphenylhex-3-en-5-yn-2-ylidene)-3-methyl-4,5-dihydro-1*H*-benzo[d]azepin-2(3*H*)-one (**6b**) (*t<sub>R</sub>* = 55 min). Yield: 10.5 mg, 13%. Yellow solid. Mp: 180–183 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 7.60–7.46 (m, 4H), 7.41–7.12 (m, 10H), 7.00 (s, 1H), 4.35–4.20 (m, 1H), 3.32–2.95 (m, 6H), 2.56 (s, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 171.4, 139.9, 139.6, 135.7, 134.6, 134.5, 133.2, 132.9, 131.2, 130.4, 128.5, 128.44, 128.38, 128.1, 127.8, 126.4, 125.8, 123.5, 123.4, 98.6, 88.9, 47.5, 32.6, 31.5, 19.2. HRMS (ESI): *m/z* [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>29</sub>H<sub>26</sub>NO 404.2009, found 404.2004.

**General Procedure for the Heck–Suzuki Tandem Reaction for the Synthesis of 3-Benzazepines 4l,n,p,r,t and Benzazocine 4v.** Pd(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (4.2 mg, 3 mol %), vinylpotassium trifluoroborate **3p** (40 mg, 0.3 mmol), and propargylamide **1** (0.2 mmol) were loaded into a microwave instrument vial and dissolved in DMF (1.8 mL). Then K<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> (85 mg, 0.4 mmol) was added followed by distilled water (0.45 mL). The reaction vial was evacuated, flushed with argon, sealed, and irradiated under stirring at the set temperature of 115 °C for 20 min utilizing a maximum power of 100 W. Upon completion of the irradiation time the vial was cooled with a stream of air, and then a fresh portion of Pd(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (2.8 mg, 2 mol %) was added. The reaction vial was evacuated, flushed with argon, sealed, and irradiated under stirring at the set temperature of 115 °C for another 15 min. Upon completion of the reaction the vial was cooled with a stream of air. The reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc (50 mL), washed with water (2 × 50 mL), dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The resulting crude material was subjected to the appropriate purification procedure.

(*E*)-1-(But-3-en-2-ylidene)-7,8-dimethoxy-3-(4-methoxybenzyl)-4,5-dihydro-1*H*-benzo[d]azepin-2(3*H*)-one (**4l**). The material obtained after workup was subjected to column chromatography on silica gel with heptane/EtOAc (1:1) as eluent to deliver pure **4l**. Yield: 57 mg, 72%. Beige amorphous solid. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 7.21 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 6.86 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 6.72 (s, 1H), 6.69 (dd, *J* = 17.4, 10.9 Hz, 1H), 6.53 (s, 1H), 5.44 (d, *J* = 17.4 Hz, 1H), 5.20 (d, *J* = 10.9 Hz, 1H), 4.92 (d, *J* = 14.7 Hz, 1H), 4.31 (d, *J* = 14.7 Hz, 1H), 4.04–3.91 (m, 1H), 3.87–3.77 (m, 9H), 3.27–3.15 (m, 1H), 2.89–2.77 (m, 2H), 2.08 (s, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 171.7, 159.0, 148.6, 146.6, 136.6, 135.6, 133.1, 129.6, 129.3, 128.1, 124.6, 116.4, 115.3, 114.0, 112.6, 56.0, 55.9, 55.3, 47.4, 44.4, 31.7, 15.4. HRMS (EI): *m/z* [M]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>27</sub>NO<sub>4</sub> 393.1940, found 393.1943.

(*E*)-7,8-Dimethoxy-3-(4-methoxybenzyl)-1-(pent-1-en-3-ylidene)-4,5-dihydro-1*H*-benzo[d]azepin-2(3*H*)-one (**4n**). The material obtained after workup was subjected to column chromatography on silica gel with heptane/EtOAc (1:1) as eluent followed by recrystallization/washing with diethyl ether to deliver pure **4n**. Yield: 65 mg, 80%. Yellow solid. Mp: 164–166 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 7.21 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 6.86 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 6.70 (s, 1H), 6.61–6.47 (m, 2H), 5.46 (d, *J* = 17.5 Hz, 1H), 5.21 (d, *J* = 11.0 Hz, 1H), 4.94 (d, *J* = 14.7 Hz, 1H), 4.29 (d, *J* = 14.7 Hz, 1H), 4.05–3.74 (m, 11H), 3.27–3.15 (m, 1H), 2.90–2.66 (m, 3H), 2.55–2.40 (m, 1H), 1.14 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 171.7, 159.0, 148.6, 146.6, 138.8, 136.2, 133.9, 129.7, 129.4, 128.1, 124.8, 116.3, 115.3, 114.0, 112.6, 56.0, 55.8, 55.3, 47.3, 44.3, 31.7, 22.1, 13.5. HRMS (EI): *m/z* [M]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>25</sub>H<sub>29</sub>NO<sub>4</sub> 407.2097, found 407.2097.

(*Z*)-7,8-Dimethoxy-3-(4-methoxybenzyl)-1-(1-phenylallylidene)-4,5-dihydro-1*H*-benzo[d]azepin-2(3*H*)-one (**4p**). The material obtained after workup was subjected to column chromatography on silica gel with heptane/EtOAc (1:1) as eluent followed by reversed-phase preparative HPLC with gradient pump mode, MeCN/H<sub>2</sub>O with 0.1% HCOOH (30–40–50–60–70–70–80–90–100%, 10 min intervals) as eluent, and a flow rate of 10 mL/min to deliver pure **4p** (*t<sub>R</sub>* = 40 min). Yield: 16.4 mg, 18%. White amorphous solid. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 7.47–7.28 (m, 5H), 6.97–6.82 (m, 2H), 6.70 (s, 4H), 6.60 (s, 1H), 5.26 (d, *J* = 10.9 Hz, 1H), 4.95 (d, *J* = 15.8 Hz, 2H), 4.15–4.00 (m, 1H), 3.91 (s, 3H), 3.88 (s, 3H), 3.84–3.73 (m, 4H), 3.21–3.10 (m, 1H), 3.04–2.86 (m, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 170.5, 158.8, 148.9, 146.8, 138.8, 137.5, 137.3, 135.4, 129.7, 129.3, 129.2, 128.3, 127.9, 127.4, 124.2, 120.6, 114.8, 113.7, 112.8, 56.1, 55.9,

55.3, 46.7, 44.1, 31.6. HRMS (EI):  $m/z$   $[M]^+$  calcd for  $C_{29}H_{29}NO_4$  455.2097, found 455.2086.

(*E*)-1-(*But-3-en-2-ylidene*)-3-methyl-4,5-dihydro-1*H*-benz[d]azepin-2(3*H*)-one (**4r**). The material obtained after workup was subjected to column chromatography on silica gel with heptane/EtOAc (3:2) as eluent to deliver pure **4r**. Yield: 37.7 mg, 83%. Yellow amorphous solid.  $^1H$  NMR (300 MHz,  $CDCl_3$ ):  $\delta$  7.27–7.08 (m, 4H), 6.64 (dd,  $J = 17.4, 10.9$  Hz, 1H), 5.44 (d,  $J = 17.4$  Hz, 1H), 5.19 (d,  $J = 10.9$  Hz, 1H), 4.26–4.09 (m, 1H), 3.28–2.94 (m, 6H), 2.04 (s, 3H).  $^{13}C$  NMR (75 MHz,  $CDCl_3$ ):  $\delta$  171.6, 136.8, 135.7, 135.4, 133.6, 132.7, 132.6, 130.3, 127.8, 125.7, 116.7, 47.6, 32.6, 31.4, 15.4. HRMS (EI):  $m/z$   $[M]^+$  calcd for  $C_{15}H_{17}NO$  227.1310, found 227.1328.

(*E*)-1-(*But-3-en-2-ylidene*)-3-isopropyl-4,5-dihydro-1*H*-benz[d]azepin-2(3*H*)-one (**4t**). The material obtained after workup was subjected to column chromatography on silica gel with heptane/EtOAc (7:3  $\rightarrow$  1:1) as eluent to deliver pure **4t**. Yield: 40.3 mg, 79%. Pale yellow solid. Mp: 136–138 °C.  $^1H$  NMR (300 MHz,  $CDCl_3$ ):  $\delta$  7.24–7.08 (m, 4H), 6.63 (dd,  $J = 17.4, 10.9$  Hz, 1H), 5.42 (dd,  $J = 17.4, 1.1$  Hz, 1H), 5.17 (dd,  $J = 10.9, 1.1$  Hz, 1H), 4.87 (sept,  $J = 6.8$  Hz, 1H), 3.95–3.80 (m, 1H), 3.45–3.34 (m, 1H), 3.16–2.92 (m, 2H), 2.00 (s, 3H), 1.19 (d,  $J = 6.8$  Hz, 6H).  $^{13}C$  NMR (75 MHz,  $CDCl_3$ ):  $\delta$  171.4, 137.6, 135.5, 133.1, 132.8, 132.5, 130.3, 127.7, 125.6, 116.4, 43.5, 38.9, 34.4, 20.7, 20.5, 15.1. HRMS (ESI):  $m/z$   $[M + H]^+$  calcd for  $C_{17}H_{22}NO$  256.1696, found 256.1697.

(*E*)-1-(*But-3-en-2-ylidene*)-8,9-dimethoxy-3-(4-methoxybenzyl)-3,4,5,6-tetrahydrobenzo[d]azocin-2(1*H*)-one (**4v**). The material obtained after workup was subjected to column chromatography on silica gel with heptane/EtOAc (4:1  $\rightarrow$  3:1) as eluent to deliver pure **4v**. Last fractions containing **4v** overlapping with other impurities were concentrated separately and resubjected to column chromatography to deliver a second portion of pure **4v**. Combined yield: 48 mg, 59%. Yellow oil.  $^1H$  NMR (300 MHz,  $CDCl_3$ ):  $\delta$  7.15 (d,  $J = 8.5$  Hz, 2H), 6.86–6.78 (m, 3H), 6.62 (s, 1H), 6.26 (dd,  $J = 17.4, 10.8$  Hz, 1H), 5.34 (d,  $J = 17.4, 0.8$  Hz, 1H), 5.10 (d,  $J = 10.8, 0.8$  Hz, 1H), 3.88 (s, 3H), 3.87 (s, 3H), 3.79 (s, 3H), 3.45–3.30 (m, 2H), 2.71–2.59 (m, 2H), 1.95 (s, 3H), 1.74–1.56 (m, 2H).  $^{13}C$  NMR (75 MHz,  $CDCl_3$ ):  $\delta$  172.3, 158.9, 148.2, 147.4, 136.9, 134.8, 132.3, 130.6, 129.7, 129.6, 129.2, 115.8, 113.9, 112.9, 112.8, 56.0, 55.9, 55.3, 49.8, 49.3, 36.2, 29.1, 14.6. HRMS (EI):  $m/z$   $[M]^+$  calcd for  $C_{25}H_{29}NO_4$  407.2097, found 407.2078.

**Synthesis of (*E*)-7,8-Dimethoxy-3-(4-methoxybenzyl)-2-phenyl-1-(1-phenylbutylidene)-2,3,4,5-tetrahydro-1*H*-benz[d]azepine (**4w**).** Pd(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (4.2 mg, 3 mol %), phenylboronic acid **3a** (36.6 mg, 0.3 mmol), and propargylamine **1g** (107 mg, 0.2 mmol) were loaded into a microwave instrument vial and dissolved in DMF (1.8 mL). Then K<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> (85 mg, 0.4 mmol) was added followed by distilled water (0.45 mL). The reaction vial was evacuated, flushed with argon, sealed, and irradiated under stirring at a set temperature of 110 °C for 25 min utilizing a maximum power of 100 W. Upon completion of the irradiation time, the vial was cooled with a stream of air, and then a fresh portion of Pd(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (2.8 mg, 2 mol %) was added. The reaction vial was evacuated, flushed with argon, sealed, and irradiated under stirring at the set temperature of 110 °C for another 15 min. Upon completion of the reaction, the vial was cooled with a stream of air. The reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc (50 mL), washed with water (2  $\times$  50 mL), dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The resulting crude material was subjected to column chromatography on silica gel with heptane/EtOAc (23:2  $\rightarrow$  17:3) as eluent followed by reversed-phase preparative HPLC with gradient pump mode, MeCN/H<sub>2</sub>O (60–70–80–90–100%, 10 min intervals) as eluent, and a flow rate of 10 mL/min to deliver pure **4w** ( $t_R = 37$  min). Yield: 29 mg, 27%. Yellow oil.  $^1H$  NMR (300 MHz,  $CDCl_3$ ):  $\delta$  7.30–7.10 (m, 7H), 7.06–6.92 (m, 3H), 6.91–6.78 (m, 4H), 6.47 (s, 1H), 5.66 (s, 1H), 4.94 (s, 1H), 3.82–3.71 (m, 7H), 3.40 (d,  $J = 13.7$  Hz, 1H), 3.25 (s, 3H), 3.19–3.06 (m, 2H), 3.05–2.92 (m, 2H), 2.76–2.62 (m, 1H), 2.49–2.36 (m, 1H), 1.51–1.35 (m, 2H), 0.93 (t,  $J = 7.3$  Hz, 3H).  $^{13}C$  NMR (75 MHz,  $CDCl_3$ ):  $\delta$  158.4, 146.9, 145.5, 143.4, 142.8, 141.3, 139.2, 132.4, 131.7, 131.0, 129.4, 129.0, 128.0, 127.8, 127.4, 126.5, 125.8, 117.2, 113.5, 111.1, 69.2, 57.9, 55.7, 55.5, 55.2, 47.2, 36.0, 35.2, 21.6, 14.4. HRMS (EI):  $m/z$   $[M]^+$  calcd for

$C_{36}H_{39}NO_3$  533.2930, found 533.2959. Further elution provided *N*-(2-(4,5-dimethoxybiphenyl-2-yl)ethyl)-*N*-(4-methoxybenzyl)-1-phenylhex-2-yn-1-amine (**5w**) contaminated with unknown impurities ( $t_R = 40$  min). Amount of obtained material: 43 mg. NMR yield: 35%.  $^1H$  NMR (300 MHz,  $CDCl_3$ ):  $\delta$  7.53–7.44 (m, 2H), 7.35–7.00 (m, 10H), 6.80 (d,  $J = 8.5$  Hz, 2H), 6.66 (s, 1H), 6.58 (s, 1H), 4.61 (s, 1H), 3.84 (s, 3H), 3.82 (s, 3H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 3.57 (d,  $J = 13.4$  Hz, 1H), 3.32 (d,  $J = 13.4$  Hz, 1H), 2.81–2.65 (m, 1H), 2.64–2.44 (m, 3H), 2.40–2.23 (m, 2H), 1.62 (q,  $J = 7.2$  Hz, 2H), 1.06 (t,  $J = 7.4$  Hz, 3H).  $^{13}C$  NMR (75 MHz,  $CDCl_3$ ):  $\delta$  158.5, 147.8, 146.7, 141.5, 140.0, 134.2, 131.9, 130.1, 129.9, 129.3, 128.2, 128.0, 127.8, 127.0, 126.6, 113.5, 113.1, 112.9, 88.0, 75.4, 56.2, 55.92, 55.87, 55.3, 54.5, 51.9, 31.5, 22.6, 20.9, 13.7. HRMS (EI):  $m/z$   $[M]^+$  calcd for  $C_{36}H_{39}NO_3$  533.2930, found 533.2930.

**Synthesis of (*E*)-1-(Hex-1-en-3-ylidene)-7,8-dimethoxy-3-(4-methoxybenzyl)-2-phenyl-2,3,4,5-tetrahydro-1*H*-benz[d]azepine (**4x**).** Pd(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (4.2 mg, 3 mol %), vinylpotassium trifluoroborate **3p** (40 mg, 0.3 mmol), and propargylamine **1g** (107 mg, 0.2 mmol) were loaded into a microwave instrument vial and dissolved in DMF (1.8 mL). Then K<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> (85 mg, 0.4 mmol) was added followed by distilled water (0.45 mL). The reaction vial was evacuated, flushed with argon, sealed, and irradiated under stirring at the set temperature of 115 °C for 25 min utilizing a maximum power of 100 W. Upon completion of the irradiation time, the vial was cooled with a stream of air, and then a fresh portion of Pd(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (4.2 mg, 3 mol %) was added. The reaction vial was evacuated, flushed with argon, sealed, and irradiated under stirring at the set temperature of 115 °C for another 15 min. Upon completion of the reaction the vial was cooled with a stream of air. The reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc (50 mL), washed with water (2  $\times$  50 mL), dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The resulting crude material was subjected to column chromatography on silica gel with heptane/EtOAc (17:3) as eluent followed by reversed-phase preparative HPLC with gradient pump mode, MeCN/H<sub>2</sub>O (50–60–70–80–80–90–100%, 10 min intervals) as eluent, and a flow rate of 10 mL/min (8 mL/min for two first intervals) to deliver pure **4x** ( $t_R = 51$  min). Yield: 53 mg, 55%. Yellow oil.  $^1H$  NMR (300 MHz,  $CDCl_3$ ):  $\delta$  7.22–7.04 (m, 7H), 6.80 (d,  $J = 8.6$  Hz, 2H), 6.63 (s, 1H), 6.21 (dd,  $J = 17.5, 11.0$  Hz, 1H), 6.08 (s, 1H), 5.17 (dd,  $J = 17.5, 1.1$  Hz, 1H), 4.89 (dd,  $J = 11.0, 1.1$  Hz, 1H), 4.79 (s, 1H), 3.90 (s, 3H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 3.70–3.58 (m, 4H), 3.27 (d,  $J = 13.8$  Hz, 1H), 3.01–2.78 (m, 3H), 2.73–2.37 (m, 3H), 1.70–1.40 (m, 2H), 1.04 (t,  $J = 7.4$  Hz, 3H).  $^{13}C$  NMR (75 MHz,  $CDCl_3$ ):  $\delta$  158.4, 147.7, 145.7, 142.4, 141.8, 137.0, 136.1, 132.2, 131.5, 129.9, 129.4, 128.2, 127.8, 126.7, 116.4, 113.5, 112.7, 111.7, 69.6, 57.8, 56.1, 55.8, 55.2, 47.2, 34.4, 29.8, 22.4, 14.8. HRMS (EI):  $m/z$   $[M]^+$  calcd for  $C_{32}H_{37}NO_3$  483.2773, found 483.2787.

## ■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

### 📄 Supporting Information

$^1H$  and  $^{13}C$  NMR spectra for **1b**, **4a–z**, **5g,j,o,u,w**, and **6a,b**. X-ray crystallographic structures and CIF for **4m,t,z** and **6b**. The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.joc.5b00670.

## ■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

### Corresponding Authors

\*E-mail: vsevolod@suda.edu.cn.

\*E-mail: erik.vandereycken@chem.kuleuven.be.

### Present Addresses

<sup>§</sup>College of Chemistry, Chemical Engineering and Materials Science, Dushu Lake Campus, Soochow University, Suzhou 215123, China.

<sup>#</sup>Centre for Chemical and Pharmaceutical Sciences, Central University of Punjab, Bathinda 151001, India.

### Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Support was provided by the Fund for Scientific Research (FWO), Flanders, and by the Research Fund of the University of Leuven (KU Leuven). A.A.P. is grateful to the Erasmus Mundus External Cooperation Window (EMECW) – Triple I for a doctoral scholarship. V.A.P. is grateful to the Erasmus Mundus External Cooperation Window (EMECW) – Triple I for a doctoral scholarship and to the Arenderg Doctoral School for a scholarship to complete Ph.D. studies. K.V.H. thanks the Hercules Foundation (Project No. AUGÉ/11/029 “3D-SPACE: 3D Structural Platform Aiming for Chemical Excellence”) and the Fund for Scientific Research (FWO), Flanders. We thank Ir. B. Demarsin and Prof. J. Rozenski for valuable help with EI and ESI HRMS, respectively. ESI HRMS was made possible by the support of the Hercules Foundation (Grant No. 20100225-7).

## REFERENCES

- (1) (a) Kametani, T.; Fukumoto, K. *Heterocycles* **1975**, *3*, 931–1004. (b) Weinstock, J.; Hieble, J. P.; Wilson, J. W. *Drugs Future* **1985**, *10*, 645–696. (c) Kawase, M.; Saito, S.; Motohashi, N. *Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents* **2000**, *14*, 193–201. (d) Donets, P. A. Ph.D. Dissertation, University of Leuven (KU Leuven), 2011. (e) Peshkov, V. A. Ph.D. Dissertation, University of Leuven (KU Leuven), 2013 and references cited therein.
- (2) (a) Pfister, J. R. *Heterocycles* **1986**, *24*, 2099–2103. (b) Pauvert, M.; Collet, S.; Guingant, A. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2003**, *44*, 4203–4206. (c) Soldatenkov, A. T.; Soldatova, S. A.; Mamyrbekova-Bekro, J. A.; Gimranova, G. S.; Malkova, A. V.; Polyanskii, K. B.; Kolyadina, N. M.; Khrustalev, V. N. *Chem. Heterocycl. Compd.* **2012**, *48*, 1332–1339. (d) Soeta, T.; Ohgai, T.; Sakai, T.; Fujinami, S.; Ukaji, Y. *Org. Lett.* **2014**, *16*, 4854–4857. (e) Xiao, T.; Li, L.; Lin, G.; Mao, Z.-w.; Zhou, L. *Org. Lett.* **2014**, *16*, 4232–4235.
- (3) García-López, J.-A.; Saura-Llamas, I.; McGrady, J. E.; Bautista, D.; Vicente, J. *Organometallics* **2012**, *31*, 8333–8347.
- (4) (a) Krull, O.; Wünsch, B. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **2004**, *12*, 1439–1451. (b) Wirt, U.; Fröhlich, R.; Wünsch, B. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **2005**, *16*, 2199–2202. (c) Wirt, U.; Schepmann, D.; Wünsch, B. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2007**, 462–475. (d) Husain, S. M.; Fröhlich, R.; Schepmann, D.; Wünsch, B. *J. Org. Chem.* **2009**, *74*, 2788–2793.
- (5) (a) Yu, Y.; Stephenson, G. A.; Mitchell, D. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2006**, *47*, 3811–3814. (b) Zhang, L.; Ye, D.; Zhou, Y.; Liu, G.; Feng, E.; Jiang, H.; Liu, H. *J. Org. Chem.* **2010**, *75*, 3671–3677.
- (6) (a) Fidalgo, J.; Castedo, L.; Dominguez, D. *Heterocycles* **1994**, *39*, 581–589. (b) Kaoudi, T.; Quiclet-Sire, B.; Seguin, S.; Zard, S. Z. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2000**, *39*, 731–733.
- (7) (a) Weinstock, J.; Ladd, D. L.; Wilson, J. W.; Brush, C. K.; Yim, N. C. F.; Galladher, G.; McCarthy, M. E.; Silvestry, J.; Sarau, H. M.; Flaim, K. E.; Ackerman, D. M.; Setler, P. E.; Tobia, A. J.; Hahn, R. A. *J. Med. Chem.* **1986**, *29*, 2315–2325. (b) Smith, B. M.; Smith, J. M.; Tsai, J. H.; Schultz, J. A.; Gilson, C. A.; Estrada, S. A.; Chen, R. R.; Park, D. M.; Prieto, E. B.; Gallardo, C. S.; Sengupta, D.; Thomsen, W. J.; Saldana, H. R.; Whelan, K. T.; Menzaghi, F.; Webb, R. R.; Beeley, N. R. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2005**, *15*, 1467–1470.
- (8) Tietze, L. F.; Schimpf, R. *Synthesis* **1993**, 876–880.
- (9) Tietze, L. F.; Schimpf, R. *Chem. Ber.* **1994**, *127*, 2235–2240.
- (10) For a general review on Pd-catalyzed formal hydroarylations of multiple bonds, see: Cacchi, S. *Pure Appl. Chem.* **1990**, *62*, 713–722.
- (11) (a) Donets, P. A.; Van der Eycken, E. *Org. Lett.* **2007**, *9*, 3017–3020. (b) Donets, P. A.; Goeman, J. L.; Van der Eycken, J.; Robeyns, K.; Van Meervelt, L.; Van der Eycken, E. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2009**, *6*, 793–796.
- (12) Peshkov, A. A.; Peshkov, V. A.; Pereshivko, O. P.; Van der Eycken, E. V. *Tetrahedron* **2015**, *71*, 3863–3871.
- (13) Peshkov, V. A.; Pereshivko, O. P.; Donets, P. A.; Mehta, V. P.; Van der Eycken, E. V. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2010**, 4861–4867.
- (14) For representative examples, see: (a) Cheung, W. S.; Patch, R. J.; Player, M. R. *J. Org. Chem.* **2005**, *70*, 3741–3744. (b) Yanada, R.; Obika, S.; Inokuma, T.; Yanada, K.; Yamashita, M.; Ohta, S.; Takemoto, Y. *J. Org. Chem.* **2005**, *70*, 6972–6975. (c) Arthuis, M.; Pontikis, R.; Florent, J.-C. *J. Org. Chem.* **2009**, *74*, 2234–2237. (d) Couty, S.; Liegault, B.; Meyer, C.; Cossy, J. *Tetrahedron* **2006**, *62*, 3882–3895. (e) Arcadi, A.; Blesi, F.; Cacchi, S.; Fabrizi, G.; Goggiani, A.; Marinelli, F. *J. Org. Chem.* **2013**, *78*, 4490–4498. (f) Castanheiro, T.; Donnard, M.; Gulea, M.; Suffert, J. *Org. Lett.* **2014**, *16*, 3060–3063.
- (15) Guo, L.-N.; Duan, X.-H.; Hu, J.; Bi, H.-P.; Liu, X.-Y.; Liang, Y.-M. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2008**, 1418–1425.
- (16) (a) Yu, H.; Richey, R. N.; Carson, M. W.; Coghlan, M. J. *Org. Lett.* **2006**, *8*, 1685–1688. (b) Yu, H.; Richey, R. N.; Mendiola, J.; Adeva, M.; Somoza, C.; May, S. A.; Carson, M. W.; Coghlan, M. J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2008**, *49*, 1915–1918. (c) Carson, M. W.; Coghlan, M. J. World Patent Application WO2009089312, 2009. (d) Yamamoto, J.; Mori, K.; Era, T.; Nakasato, Y. Uchida, K. World Patent Application WO20101990, 2010.
- (17) CCDC 1044489 (**4m**), CCDC 1044492 (**4t**), CCDC 1044490 (**4z**), and CCDC 1044491 (**6b**) contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via: [www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data\\_request/cif](http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif).